

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,195		05/23/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA002US	9147
24011	7590	07/12/2004		EXAM	INER
		RESEARCH PTY	HEWITT II,	HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
393 DAR BALMA	LING STR IN, 2041	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRA	ALÍA			3621	
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/575,195	SILVERBROOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this commun		1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, renunication.  10) days, a reply within the statutory minimum atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 or will, by statute, cause the application to beco	ray a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>20 February 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . ∶	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	•	*				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement	<u>.</u>				
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
		wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	documents have been received.					
	documents have been received					
		een received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	not received				
det ind ditabled detailed office action	in for a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/01.</li> </ol>	TO-948) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6222004				

Art Unit: 3621

### Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-59 have been examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33, and 49 are dedicated to online purchasing using a "sensing device". Specifically, the claims recite interactions between a form and the sensing device. However, the Applicant's Disclosure is silent regarding the use of a sensing device, such as a pen (Specification, page 73, lines 21-26), when a customer desires to order goods and services online (Specification, page/line 73/21-81/19).

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 2, 3, 6-28, 30, 31, 34-48 and 50-59 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33, or 49

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33, or 49 teach an online purchasing system using forms (Specification, page/line 73/21-81/19). The Applicant teaches the forms as "paper" or "netpages" (i.e. interactive printed pages) (Specification, page 15/8-16/10; page 75, lines 3-15) however, the Applicant's purchasing method is online (Specification, page/line 73/21-81/19; claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33 and 49) therefore, the scope of the Applicant's invention is not apparent. Further, it is not clear how the sensing device interacts with these forms.

Claims 2, 3, 6-28, 30, 31, 34-48 and 50-59 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33, or 49

6. Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: a netpage

Art Unit: 3621

application running on a netpage server, downloading and printing a netpage from the netpage server, and a customer interacting with a netpage to order goods and services (figure 2)

Claims 2, 3, 6-28, 30, 31, 34-48 and 50-59.are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 4, 5, 29, 32, 33, or 49.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-10, 19, 20, 29-37, 41, 42, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Buckley et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,871.

As per claims 1-10, 19, 20, 29-37, 41, 42, and 46 Buckley et al. teach a method and system for enabling online purchasing comprising:

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

- a form (which includes all required information relating to the purchase transaction) containing information (that relates to an item to be purchased and order acceptance) and including coded data (substantially invisible) indicative of an identity of the form and a reference point of the form (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9)
- receiving in a computer system, indicating data from a sensing device (with a nib) regarding the identity of the form, and a position of the sensing device relative to the form, and when placed in a position relative to the form, sensing the indicating data using at least some of the coded data (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9; column 4, lines 55-61; column/line 10/65-11/6)
- identifying in the computer system and from the indicating data, at least one parameter relating to the purchasing transaction (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9; column 3, lines 10-41; column/line 5/10-6/13)
- wherein the parameter is associated with a zone and identifying in the computer system and from the zone relative to which the sensing device is located said parameter (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9)
- wherein said parameter is an action (or option )parameter (e.g.
   purchase information, selecting an item for purchase, a quantity of an item, viewing a shopping cart and submitting an order) and effecting an

Art Unit: 3621

operation in said computer system using said action (or option) parameter (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9; column/line 5/10-6/13)

- wherein said coded data is superimposed with a visual graphic, the visual graphic relating to the parameter associated with the coded data (figure 1; column 5, lines 8-38)
- receiving, and interpreting, in the computer system data regarding movement of the sensing device using at least some of the coded data (figures 1, 4 and 5)
- the computer system sensing a hand drawn mark using the sensing device (figures 1, 4, 5 and 7-9)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 11 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,871, in view of Wolff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,081,261 and Bezos et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,727,163.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 11 and 38-40, Buckley et al., teach a method and system for conducting online purchases comprising a sensing device interacting with a form for reading and transmitting parameter data to a computer system (figures 1, 4, 5, and 7-9; column 5, lines 29-38). However, Buckley et al. do not specifically recite parameter data as shipping address, shipping method, payment method or card type. Wolff et al. teach a form processing method where a sensing device is used to generate a corresponding electronic document from an off-line document (column 2, lines 50-56) while Bezos teaches order forms that require users to provide data such as shipping address, shipping method, payment method or card type. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Buckley et al., Wolf et al. and Bezos in order to more efficiently and accurately order products and services.

11. Claims 12-18, 26, 43 and 52-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,871 in view of in view of Wolff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,081,261 and Thompson-Rohrlich, U.S. Patent No. 5,500,937.

As per claims 12-18, 26, 43 and 52-59, Buckley et al., teach a method and system for conducting online purchases comprising a sensing device interacting with a form for reading and transmitting parameter data to a computer system (figures 1, 4, 5, and 7-9; column 5, lines 29-38). Buckley et al. also teach

Art Unit: 3621

detecting sensing device data, such as text, using an accelerometer (column 12, lines 15-27) and wirelessly transmitting sensing device data. However, Buckley et al. do not specifically recite parameter data as shipping address, shipping method, payment method or card type. Wolff et al. teach a form processing method where a sensing device is used to generate a corresponding electronic document from an off-line document (column 2, lines 50-56) while Thompson-Rohrlich teaches entering handwritten text (e.g. authorization signature, quantity) data using a sensing device, for effecting an operation in a computer system (abstract; figures 1-3). Wolff et al. also teach signature verification ('261, column 2, lines 57-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Buckley et al., Wolff et al., and Thompson-Rohrlich in order to more efficiently process orders by automating the order authorization function ('937, figures 1 and 2).

As per claims 52-59, Thompson-Rohrlich teach digital ink and biometric data (figures 2-10c). Wolff et al. teach biometric data such as pen tilt, pen force, or fingerprint data (column 2, lines 57-62; column/line7/5-8/67) and coded data as barcodes (macrodots, having corners, substantially invisible and provided with infrared absorbing media) (abstract; figures 5 and 6; column/line7/5-8/67), and Buckley et al. teach wireless sensing devices (column 4, lines 55-61; column 7, lines 20-42), printing on surfaces that are part of a product or package (figure 1; column 5, lines 9-36), and coded data as barcodes (macrodots, having corners,

Art Unit: 3621

substantially invisible and provided with infrared absorbing media) (figures 1, 4, 5, 8 and 9; column 4, lines 55-61; column 7, lines 20-42)

12. Claims 21-23, 25, 28, 44, 45, 48, and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,871.

As per claims 21-23, 25, 28, 44, 45, 48, and 49-51, Buckley et al., teach a method and system for conducting online purchases comprising a sensing device interacting with a form for reading and transmitting parameter data to a computer system (figures 1, 4, 5, and 7-9; column 5, lines 29-38). Buckley et al. do not specifically recite printing forms. However, electronically or manually distributing, downloading, printing and copying order forms are old and well-known and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to allow users to access supplemental forms in case of mistakes or to places additional orders. Similarly, collecting and binding (e.g. staples, paper clips, rubber bands) forms are also well-known. Buckley et al. also teach detecting sensing device data using an accelerometer (column 12, lines 15-27).

13. Claims 24 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,871 in view of in view of Wolff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,081,261.

Art Unit: 3621

Page 10

As per claims 24 and 47 Buckley et al. teach a method and system for conducting online purchases comprising a sensing device interacting with a form for reading and transmitting parameter data to a computer system (figures 1, 4, 5, and 7-9; column 5, lines 29-38). However, Buckley et al. do not specifically recite storing forms. Wolff et al. teach automated form processing comprising generating electronic forms from a hard-copy original using a sensing device (abstract; column 2, lines 50-57). Wolff et al. also teach form management where a form can be retrieved from an archive by means of a database search (column 3, 10-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Buckley et al. and Wolff et al. in order to allow users more efficiently access archived data.

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
  - Greanias et al. teach a pen for automated log-on that identifies itself and the user
  - Petrovich et al. teach a portable device, such as a pen, for scanning and storing information related to an online purchase
  - Simoni et al. teach electronic re-usable paper

Art Unit: 3621

Page 11

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Art Unit: 3621

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

June 24, 2004

SUPERVISORY ATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800